

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JUL 12 2012

DAVID J. MALAND, CLERK
BY Ba
DEPUTY

AMBATO MEDIA, LLC
Plaintiff,

V.

CLARION CO., LTD., ET AL.
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CAUSE NO. 2:09-CV-242-JRG

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow the instructions I have given you.

In answering Question No. 1, Answer "Yes" or "No" for each listed asserted claim in the space provided below.

QUESTION NO. 1

Did Ambato prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Garmin infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the following asserted claims of the '542 patent?

'542 Patent Claims

Claim 36: Yes

Claim 38: Yes

Claim 39: Yes

Answer Question No. 2 only for the asserted claims you found infringed in Question No. 1. If you found no claims infringed, please do not answer Question No. 2

QUESTION NO. 2

What sum of money, if any, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Ambato for Garmin's infringement of the patent claims that you have found were infringed.

Amount in dollars and cents: \$ 500,000.00

Signed this 12 day of July, 2012.